**논문프로포잘 평가서**

**Thesis Proposal Evaluation Form**

□ 논문프로포잘 제목(Thesis Title of Thesis):

□ 발표자(Presenter):

□ 논문지도교수(Thesis Advisor):

□ 평가결과(Evaluation): *Please rate the proposal using the following scale.*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  평가 Rating 구분 Evaluation Category | 매우 우수함Excellent | 우수함Good | 보통Acceptable | 부족함Marginal | 매우 부족함Poor |
| 연구주제의 적합성Relevance of Topic |  |  |  |  |  |
| 내용의 독창성Originality of Research Ideas |  |  |  |  |  |
| 연구의 기여도Contributions to the Literature |  |  |  |  |  |
| 논점의 명료성Clarity of Arguments |  |  |  |  |  |
| 이론 및 실제적 근거Theoretical & Empirical Rationale |  |  |  |  |  |
| 분석틀의 타당성Validity of Research Methods |  |  |  |  |  |

**Recommendation (overall rating):**

\_\_\_\_\_\_ 5 최우수 (Pass with distinction)

\_\_\_\_\_\_ 4 우수 (Pass with only minor revisions needed)

\_\_\_\_\_\_ 3 보통 (Pass with major revisions needed)

\_\_\_\_\_\_ 2 보류 (Delay - A new proposal defense *recommended*)

\_\_\_\_\_\_ 1 불합격 (Fail - A new proposal defense *required*)

\*심사자가 4인 이상인 경우, 심사자 평점 중 가장 높은 점수와 낮은 점수를 제외한 평균 점수가 2.5 이상인 경우는 통과; 2.5 미만의 경우 다음 학기 재심사 (An average evaluator rating of 2.5 or above indicates a passing grade. When there are four or more evaluators, the average will be computed after removing the highest and lowest scores; an average below 2.5 means a new proposal defense in the next semester).

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Evaluator’s Name Signature Date

□ 평가자 의견(Comments)

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Relevance, Originality, and Potential Contributions of Proposed Research Topic
2. Quality of Literature Review
3. Strength of Theoretical and Empirical Rationale for Research Hypotheses
4. Validity of Proposed Research Design & Methods
5. Quality of Writing
6. Other
 |